arXiv:1603.05604v3 [math.AP] 20 May 2016

REGULARITY FOR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS OF UHLENBECK TYPE WITH ORLICZ GROWTH

LARS DIENING, TONI SCHARLE, AND SEBASTIAN SCHWARZACHER

Abstract. We study the local regularity of p-caloric functions or more generally of φ-caloric functions. In particular, we show the local boundedness of the gradients of the solution, which can be scalar or vectorial. This paper closes the gap of Lieberman [24], who proved Hölder continuity of the gradients under the hypothesis that the gradients are already bounded. Our local estimate of the gradient improve the pioneering estimate of DiBenedetto and Friedman [11, 9] for p-caloric functions.

Non-linear PDE's, Degenerate parabolic systems, Gradient estimates, De Giorgi technique.
35K40, 35B45, 35K65, 35B65.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the local regularity of p-caloric functions and φ-caloric functions. The *p-caloric* functions are local, weak solutions of the p-Laplace heat equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\big) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

with $1 < p < \infty$. The *φ-caloric functions* are local, weak solutions of the φ-Laplace heat equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\Big(\frac{\varphi'(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|}\nabla u\Big) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is an Orlicz function satisfying the natural condition $\varphi''(t)\,t \eqsim \varphi'(t)$, see Assumption 2.1 for more details. The case $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p$ corresponds to p-caloric functions, so p-caloric functions are special cases of φ-caloric functions. All solutions in this paper may be scalar or vectorial, i.e. we study both equations and systems.

More explicitly, let J be a time interval and Ω a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we will study local weak solutions u of (1.2). In particular, we study functions $u : J \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$ with $u \in L^\infty(J, L^2(\Omega))$ and $\varphi(|\nabla u|) \in L^1(J, L^1(\Omega))$ such that

$$\int \frac{\varphi'(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|}\nabla u \cdot \nabla\zeta \,\mathrm{d}z = \int u \cdot \partial_t\zeta \,\mathrm{d}z, \tag{1.3}$$

for all $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(J \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$. Our main result is the local boundedness of the gradients ∇u, see Theorem 2.2.

Let us begin with the case of p-caloric functions. It is known that if ∇u is locally in L^2, then ∇u is already Hölder continuous. This was proven in the celebrated works of DiBenedetto and Friedman [10, 11]. In the first step of the proof the authors show the boundedness of ∇u. Unfortunately, it was necessary to have separate proofs for the sub-linear case $p \le 2$ and the super-linear case $p \ge 2$. We will introduce in this paper a new approach that allows to handle both cases at once.

The origins to prove L^∞ bounds of quasi-linear or non-linear parabolic solutions was achieved by Nash [28] and Moser [27] by the celebrated DiGiorgi-Nash-Moser technique. For degenerate non-linear elliptic equations this technique was adapted by Ural'ceva [31] and for non-linear elliptic systems by Uhlenbeck [30]. Both authors proved Hölder continuity of the gradients of p-harmonic functions, i.e. solutions of $\operatorname{div}\big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\big) = 0$. Up to this day this is the best regularity result for homogeneous solutions to the p-Laplace equation which is known for space dimensions $n \ge 3$.

Later it was observed by various authors, that the growth restrictions can be generalized to Orlicz growth. For the elliptic homogeneous theory we refer to [23, 25, 17, 7] and also the book [5]. Under natural assumptions on the Orlicz growth it is shown in these references, that the gradients of local weak solutions are Hölder continuous. As a consequence the so called non-linear Calderón-Zygmund

S. Schwarzacher thanks the program PRVOUK P47, financed by the Charles University of Prague.

theory was applicable and many results for elliptic systems with Orlicz growth and inhomogeneous right hand side were proven, see for example [14, 18, 2]. Some results could later be applied to the respective framework of incompressible non Newtonian fluid models [13, 15].

Now, let us consider the case of φ-caloric functions. Lieberman showed, that φ-caloric functions with bounded gradients already have Hölder continuous gradients. However, the step proving local boundedness of the gradients was still missing. In this work we will close this gap. We will reduce the boundedness of the gradients to local L^2-integrability. For equations this gap was closed independently by Baroni and Lindfors [3, Theorem 1.2].

Let us point out the improvements of our L^∞-gradient estimates in comparison with the ones available in the literature. First, our proof is a lot shorter than the original one by DiBenedetto and Friedman and allows to treat the whole range $p \in (\min\{1, 2-\frac{4}{n}\}, \infty)$ in one step. Second, our estimates also provide information in the case of small gradients. In particular, in DiBenedetto and Friedman [10, 11] the gradients are pointwise estimated in terms of the maximum of a constant and a mean of the gradients. Therefore, if the mean of the gradients is small, it is only possible to deduce that the gradients our bounded by a constant but not necessarily small. In our estimates we are able to get rid of this "maximum of a constant and ...", so if the gradient mean is small, we also get pointwise small gradients. See the discussions after Corollary 2.2 for more details.

Finally, we want to point out that we are able to show that the solutions have second order weak derivatives. This might seem like a minor technical detail, but this step is quite important to justify many ad hoc calculations. In particular, the existence of second order weak derivatives is a serious problem in the super-quadratic case. See Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.5 for more details.

2. Notations and main results

To simplify the notation the letter c will denote a positive constant, which may vary throughout the paper but will not depend on the important quantities. We write $f \lesssim g$ for $f \le c\, g$ and $f \gtrsim g$ for $f \ge c\, g$. Moreover, we write $f \eqsim g$ if $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$. We say that a function $f : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is *almost increasing* if $f(t_2) \le c\, f(t_1)$ for all $t_2 \ge t_1$. For a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$ we define λB as the ball with the same center but radii scaled by the factor λ.

We begin, by introducing the assumptions on our Orlicz function φ. The assumptions are quite standard and motivated by the elliptic theory, see for example [17].

Assumption 2.1. *Let $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be convex function with $\varphi \in C^2((0,\infty)) \cap C^1([0,\infty))$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$. Moreover, we assume, that*

$$\varphi'(t) \eqsim \varphi''(t)t. \tag{2.1}$$

The constants hidden in "$\eqsim$" will be referred to as the characteristics of φ.

One consequence of Assumption 2.1 is that φ and its conjugate function φ^*, given by $\varphi^*(s) = \sup_{t\ge0}(st - \varphi(t))$, automatically satisfies the Δ_2 condition, i.e. $\varphi(2t) \le c\,\varphi(t)$ and $\varphi^*(2t) \le c\,\varphi^*(t)$ for all $t \ge 0$, see for example (2.2) of [4]. This excludes linear and exponential growth. The functions $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p$ with $1 < p < \infty$ satisfy Assumption 2.1.

Our main theorem is the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let φ satisfy Assumption 2.1 and let $\rho(t) := \big(\varphi(t)\big)^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{2-n}$ be almost increasing. Further, let u be a local weak solution to (1.2) on $J \times \Omega$ with $\nabla u \in L^2_{loc}(J, L^2_{loc}(\Omega))$. Then for any cylinder $Q_R := (t_0 - \alpha R^2, t_0) \times B_R(x)$ with $Q_{2R} \Subset J \times \Omega$ we have*

$$\min\left\{ \sup_{Q_R} \frac{\rho(|\nabla u|)}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} \right\} \le c \fint_{Q_{2R}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} + \varphi(|\nabla u|)\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{2.2}$$

The constant only depends on the characteristics of φ.

The proof of this theorem can be found in Section 4.

The assumption in Theorem 2.2 that ρ is almost increasing reflects the usual restriction of the exponents near 1 for the parabolic p-Laplace. These restrictions arise due to the different scaling of the time derivative and the elliptic part. This effect is sometimes also called *scaling deficit*. It would be desirable in (2.2) to have $\rho(|\nabla u|)\alpha^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$ replaced by $\varphi(|\nabla u|)$. However, the scaling deficit prevents

this. The only case with no scaling deficit, is $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2$, which corresponds to the standard heat equation. Only then, we have $\rho(t) \eqsim \varphi(t)$.

Examples that satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, are $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p$, for $p \in (\max\{1, 2-\frac{4}{n}\}, \infty)$. In this case (1.2) becomes the p-Laplace heat equation. Also $\varphi(t) = \max\{t^p, t^q\}$ or $\varphi(t) = \min\{t^p, t^q\}$ with $\max\{1, 2-\frac{4}{n}\} < p \le q < \infty$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.2.

Since the case of p-caloric functions is of special interest, let us phrase our main result for this special situation.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p > 2 - \frac{4}{n}$ and let u be a local weak solution to (1.1) on $J \times \Omega$ with $|\nabla u| \in L^2_{loc}(J \times \Omega)$. Denote $\nu_2 = n(p-2)+4$. For any cylinder $Q_R = (t-\alpha R^2, t) \times B_R(x)$ with $Q_{2R} \Subset J \times \Omega$ we have*

$$\min\left\{ \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^{\frac{\nu_2}{2}}}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} \right\} \le c \fint_{Q_2 R} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} + |\nabla u|^p \,\mathrm{d}z, \tag{2.3}$$

where the constant c only depends on p, n.

Remark 2.4. *Note that in theorem 2.2 as well as in Corollary 2.3 we need $\nabla u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(2Q)$. For p-caloric functions with $p \ge 2$, this regularity is natural. In the sub-linear case, this is not obvious. However, for the initial boundary value problem of φ-caloric functions on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with initial values in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, this regularity follows for example from* [19, Theorem 5.1].

Let us compare this novel result to the estimates DiBenedetto [9, Chap VIII, theorem 5.1 and theorem 5.2]. There the following estimates are proven.

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} &\le \max\left\{ c \fint_{Q_{2R}} |\nabla u|^p \,\mathrm{d}z, \alpha^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \right\} \qquad \text{for } p \ge 2, \\ \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^{\frac{\nu_2}{2}}}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}} &\le \max\left\{ c \fint_{Q_{2R}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}z, \alpha^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \right\} \qquad \text{for } p \le 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

It follows from these estimates that

$$\min\left\{ \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^{\frac{\nu_2}{2}}}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \sup_{Q_R} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} \right\} \le \max\left\{ c \fint_{Q_{2R}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\alpha} + |\nabla u|^p \,\mathrm{d}z,\ \alpha^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

To compare this estimates with (2.3) it is the easiest to think of the case $\alpha = 1$. If the average integrals on the right hand side of (2.5) are small, then we get 1 on the right side. Thus, we get a local bound for the gradients, but do not know if the gradients must be small. The estimate (2.3) however allows to deduce smallness of the gradients if the average integrals are small. This is a novel improvement.

The proof of theorem 2.2 is based on the DeGiorgi iteration technique. The necessary gain in integrability is achieved by the following theorem, which is proved in Section 3 and may be of independent interest. As an introduction to the DeGiorgi iteration we suggest to look at the respective stationary result, which is shown in the appendix below. Although the stationary estimate is known for some time, we decided to include this new and short proof for the sake of better understanding of our arguments.

We have shown in theorem 2.2 the local boundedness of the gradients. We want to apply the results of Lieberman [24, Corollary 2.1] to obtain Hölder continuity of the gradients. Lieberman studied weak solutions of (1.2)

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\big(F(|\nabla u|)\nabla u\big) = 0$$

with certain conditions on F. In our situation we have

$$F(t) = \frac{\varphi'(t)}{t} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{tF'(t)}{F(t)} = \frac{\varphi''(t)\,t}{\varphi'(t)} - 1.$$

Therefore, condition (1.2) of [24], namely $\delta - 1 \le \frac{tF'(t)}{F(t)} \le g_0 - 1$ for some $\delta, g_0 > 0$ is equivalent to our condition (2.1). Also conditions (2.2a) is a consequence of our condition (2.1), see Section 3. However, to apply the result of Lieberman, we need to assume the following off-diagonal uniform continuity of φ''.

Assumption 2.5. *Let φ satisfy Assumption 2.1. Further assume that there exists a continuous function $\omega : (0, \frac{1}{2}) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and*

$$\big|\varphi''(s) - \varphi''(t)\big| \le c\,\omega\bigg(\frac{|s-t|}{t}\bigg)\varphi''(t) \qquad \text{for all } s,t \ge 0 \text{ with } |s-t| < \tfrac{1}{2}t. \tag{2.6}$$

We are now able to apply the results of Lieberman to our setting.

Theorem 2.6 (Lieberman [24, Corollary 2.1])**.** *Let φ satisfy Assumption 2.5. If u is a weak solution to (1.2) on $J \times \Omega$, with $\nabla u \in L^2_{loc}(J, L^2_{loc}(\Omega))$, then ∇u is locally Hölder continuous in $J \times \Omega$. Moreover, there exists a $\mu \in (0,1)$ such that for any cylinder $Q_R = (t - R^2, t) \times B_R(x) \subset J \times \Omega$ and $Q_r = (t - r^2, t) \times B_r(x)$ with $r < \frac{1}{2}R$ the following estimate is satisfied:*

$$\sup_{z, z_2 \in Q_r} |\nabla u(z) - \nabla u(z_2)| \le c \sup_{Q_R} |\nabla u| \cdot \bigg(\frac{r}{R}\,\kappa\big(\sup_{Q_R}|\nabla u|\big)\bigg)^{\mu}$$

with $\kappa(t) := \max\{\sqrt{\varphi''(t)}, \sqrt{1/\varphi''(t)}\}$ where c depends on the characteristics of φ and ω from Assumption 2.5.

This theorem in combination with our theorem 2.2 implies the local Hölder continuity of φ-caloric functions.

At this point we wish to emphasize the importance of the regularity results of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.6 for systems with inhomogeneous right hand side. Regularity theory for non-linear PDE with inhomogeneous right hand side is successfully achieved by the so called non-linear Calderón Zygmund theory. It was first used for the p-Laplacian by Iwaniec [20], see also [8]. The core of this theory is the combination of a Calderón-Zygmund decomposition (e.g. of the gradient of the solution) combined with local comparison with p-harmonic, resp. p-caloric functions. *The local regularity of the homogeneous system is therefore of fundamental importance.* The local L^∞-bound (of DiBenedetto and Friedman) of the gradient of p-caloric functions was successfully used to derive higher integrability results [1]. The Hölder estimates for the gradients of p-caloric functions has been used to show Hölder continuity for the inhomogeneous system [11, 26] and to derive estimates of BMO-Type [29]. Moreover, it is a necessary tool for the proof of pointwise potential estimates [21, 22] and for almost everywhere regularity results by p-caloric approximation [6].

3. Differentiability

In this section we prove the higher regularity estimates of theorem 3.6. For this we have to start with a few properties on our N-function φ and its relations to the quantities of our equation. Again we assume that φ satisfies Assumption 2.1.

First of all, we define the quantities $A, V : \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$ by

$$A(P) := \varphi'(|P|)\frac{P}{|P|},$$
$$V(P) := \sqrt{\varphi'(|P|)|P|}\,\frac{P}{|P|}.$$

Then our φ-Laplacian heat equation can be written as

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\big(A(\nabla u)\big) = 0.$$

The quantity $V(\nabla u)$ is well known from the regularity theory of systems with a p-Laplacian, in which case $V(P) = |P|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}P$.

Moreover, we need the *shifted N-function* φ_a from [12], which are defined for $t, a \ge 0$ as

$$(\varphi_a)'(t) := \frac{\varphi'(a+t)}{a+t}t \tag{3.1}$$

We have $(\varphi_a)_b = \varphi_{a+b}$. Note that the family φ_a also satisfies Assumption 2.1 with characteristics uniformly bounded with respect to $a \ge 0$. This implies that the families φ_a and $(\varphi_a)^*$ satisfy the Δ_2-condition with constants independent of $a \ge 0$. Note that $\varphi_0 = \varphi$. Moreover, uniformly in $a, t \ge 0$

$$(\varphi_a)(t) \eqsim \varphi_a'(t)\,t \eqsim \varphi_a''(t)\,t^2 \eqsim \varphi''(a+t)\,t^2, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\varphi_a)^*(t) \eqsim (\varphi^*)_{\varphi'(a)}(t) \eqsim (\varphi^*)''(\varphi'(a)+t)\,t^2. \tag{3.3}$$

The following lemma from [12] summarizes many important relations between A, V and the shifted N-functions φ_a.

Lemma 3.1. *Uniformly in $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$ we have*

$$(A(P)-A(Q)) : (P-Q) \eqsim \varphi_{|P|}(|P-Q|) \eqsim |V(P)-V(Q)|^2 \eqsim (\varphi_{|P|})^*(|A(P)-A(Q)|), \tag{3.4}$$

$$|A(P)-A(Q)| \eqsim \varphi'_{|P|}(|P-Q|). \tag{3.5}$$

In combination with (3.2) *many variants of these equivalences follow.*

We can apply the standard Young's inequality for N-function, which are in our context as follows: For every $\delta > 0$ there exists $c_\delta > 0$ such that uniformly in $a, s, t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_a(s)\, t &\leq \delta\, \varphi_a(s) + c_\delta (\varphi_a)^*(t), \\ \varphi'_a(s)\, t &\leq c_\delta\, \varphi_a(s) + \delta (\varphi_a)^*(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, the following estimates are versions of Young's inequalities and can be found in [16].

Lemma 3.2 (Shift-change lemma)**.** *For every $\delta > 0$ there exists $c_\delta > 0$ such that for all $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$ and $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{|P|}(t) &\leq c_\delta \varphi_{|Q|}(t) + \delta\, |V(P)-V(Q)|^2, \\ (\varphi_{|P|})^*(t) &\leq c_\delta (\varphi_{|Q|})^*(t) + \delta\, |V(P)-V(Q)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The higher regularity of φ-caloric will be obtained by the difference quotient technique, which corresponds formally to a localized version of the testfunction Δu. Therefore we introduce the following notation: For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^N$ we write $(\tau_{h,j} f)(x) = f(x + he_j) - f(x)$, $(\delta_{h,j} f)(x) = h^{-1}(f(x + he_j) - f(x))$ and $\delta_h f = (\delta_{h,1} f, \dots, \delta_{h,n} f)$. Moreover, we use the translation operator $(T_{h,j} f)(x) = f(x + he_j)$. For a cylinder $Q = (t_0 - \alpha R^2) \times B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ we will also denote $C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{par}}(Q) = C^\infty_0(Q \cup \{t_0\} \times B_R)$. The following theorem is a special case of theorem 3.6 below with $f = 1$. Note that is a differentiability result for the quantity $V(\nabla u)$, which is known to be a natural quantity for equations involving the p-Laplacian, resp. φ-Laplacian.

Theorem 3.3. *Let φ satisfy Assumption 2.1 and let u be a local weak solution to* (1.2) *on a cylindrical domain $J \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ with $\nabla u \in L^2_{loc}(J \times \Omega)$. For any cylinder $Q_R = (t - \alpha R^2, t) \times B_R(x) \Subset J \times \Omega$ and $\eta \in C^\infty_{0,par}(Q)$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{((t-\alpha R^2,t)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \fint_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u|^2 \eta^q \,\mathrm{d}x + R^2 \fint_{Q_R} |\nabla V(\nabla u)|^2 \eta^q \,\mathrm{d}z \\ &\qquad \lesssim R^2 \fint_{2Q} |V(\nabla u)|^2 \|\nabla \eta\|^2_\infty \,\mathrm{d}z + R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} |\nabla u|^2 \eta^{q-1} |\partial_t \eta| \,\mathrm{d}z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Here, $q > 1$ is fixed such that $\varphi_a(\eta^{q-1} t) \lesssim \eta^q \varphi_a(t)$, which exists due to [12, (6.25)].

Proof. We only give a sketch of this prove, since it is nowadays quite standard to show. Basically the proof is based on the difference quotient technique and uses the test function $\xi = \delta_{-h,j}(\eta^q \delta_{h,j} u)$. Here η is chosen as the usual–. A cut off function in space and a smoothly growing function with a jump at time $s \in ((t - \alpha R^2, t)$. The proof is very similar to the one in [12, Theorem 11]. The terms involving $-\mathrm{div}(\varphi'(|\nabla u|)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$ can be treated just likewise. The terms involving the time derivatives are quite standard to handle for a parabolic system, as well. See for instance [9, Chap. VIII]. □

We need the following lemma that shows that $\nabla V(\nabla u) \in L^2$ guarantees that $\nabla^2 u$ exists at least as an L^1-function. We state the stationary version, since the parabolic one is just one more integration over time.

Lemma 3.4. *Let φ satisfy assumption 2.1 and let $w \in W^{1,\varphi}(\Omega)$ such that $V(\nabla w) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then $\nabla^2 w \in L^1$ and $\nabla A(\nabla w) \in L^1$ and*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla^2 w| \,\mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_\Omega |\nabla V(\nabla w)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi(|\nabla w|) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi^*(1) \,\mathrm{d}x,$$
$$\int_\Omega |\nabla(A(\nabla w))| \,\mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_\Omega |\nabla V(\nabla w)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi(|\nabla w|) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi(1) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. We begin with the estimate of $\nabla^2 w \in L^1$. Let h_k be a null sequence and let $\varphi'(\varepsilon_k) := h_k$. Define the operator $S_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^{N\times n} \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times n}$ by

$$S(Q) := (|Q| - \varepsilon)_+ \frac{Q}{|Q|}.$$

Then S_ε is a contraction, i.e. $|S_\varepsilon(P) - S_\varepsilon(Q)| \le |P - Q|$. Recall that $(\tau_{h,j} g)(x) = g(x + he_j) - g(x)$, $(\delta_{h,j} g)(x) = h^{-1}(g(x + he_j) - g(x))$ and $(T_{h,j} g)(x) = g(x + he_j)$. We consider the functions

$$f_k := \delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w) = |h_k|^{-1} (\tau_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)).$$

Shen $f_k \rightharpoonup \partial_j \nabla w$ in the sense of distributions, since for every $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\langle f_k, \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w), \xi\rangle = \langle S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w), \delta_{-h_k,j}\xi\rangle \overset{k}{\to} \langle \nabla w, -\partial_j \xi\rangle = \langle \partial_j \nabla w, \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$$

using that $S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w) \to \nabla w$ in L^φ by dominated convergence.

Using the contraction property of S_{ε_k}, we estimate pointwise

$$|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)| \le |\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\} \cup \{|T_{h_k,j} \nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}}.$$

We begin with an estimate for $|\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}}$:

$$|\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}} = |h_k|^{-2} |\tau_{h_k,j} \nabla w| |h_k| \chi_{\{\varphi'(|\nabla w|) > \varphi'(\varepsilon_k)\}}$$

Using Young's inequality with $\varphi_{|\nabla w|}$ and $\varphi'(\varepsilon_k) = h_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}} &= |h_k|^{-2} \big(\varphi_{|\nabla w|}(|\tau_{h_k,j} \nabla w|) + (\varphi_{|\nabla w|})^*(|h_k|)\big) \chi_{\{\varphi'(|\nabla w|) > \varphi'(\varepsilon_k)\}} \\ &\le |h_k|^{-2} \varphi_{|\nabla w|}(|\tau_{h_k,j} \nabla w|) + |h_k|^{-2} (\varphi_{|\nabla w|})^*(|h_k|) \chi_{\{\varphi'(|\nabla w|) > h_k\}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$(\varphi_{|\nabla w|})^*(|h_k|) \eqsim |h_k|^2 (\varphi_{|\nabla w|})^*(1) \qquad \text{if } \varphi'(|\nabla w|) > h_k.$$

This, the previous estimate and Lemma 3.1 imply

$$|\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}} \lesssim |h_k|^{-2} \big|\tau_{h_k,j} V(\nabla w)\big|^2 + (\varphi_{|\nabla w|})^*(1).$$

The shift-change lemma (with $P = \nabla w$ and $Q = 0$) and $|V(P)|^2 \eqsim \varphi(|P|)$ imply

$$|\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}} \lesssim \big|\delta_{h_k,j} V(\nabla w)\big|^2 + \varphi^*(1) + \varphi(|\nabla w|).$$

Analogously, can estimate

$$|\delta_{h_k,j} \nabla w| \chi_{\{|\tau_{h_k,j} \nabla w| > \varepsilon_k\}} \lesssim \big|\delta_{h_k,j} V(\nabla w)\big|^2 + \varphi^*(1) + \varphi(|T_{h_k,j} \nabla w|)$$

by applying Young's inequality with $\varphi_{|T_{h_k,j} \nabla w|}$ and repeating the steps above. Overall, we get

$$|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)| \le \big|\delta_{h_k,j} V(\nabla w)\big|^2 + \varphi^*(1) + \varphi(|\nabla w|) + \varphi(|T_{h_k,j} \nabla w|). \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\nabla V(\nabla w) \in L^2$ we have $\delta_{h_k,j} V(\nabla w) \to \partial_j V(\nabla w)$ strongly in L^2. Moreover, $\nabla w \in L^\varphi$ implies that $\varphi(|T_{h_k,j} \nabla w|) \to \varphi(|\nabla w|)$ strongly in L^1. First, this shows that

$$\|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)\|_{L^1} \lesssim \int_\Omega |\nabla V(\nabla w)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi(|\nabla w|) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi^*(1) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Second, since the right-hand side of (3.8) is equi-integrable with respect to k, and therefore $|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)|$ is also equi-integrable with respect to k.

From the uniform boundedness of $\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)$ in L^1 we know that (passing to a subsequence) $\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w) \rightharpoonup \mu$ and $|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)| \rightharpoonup \sigma \ge 0$ in the sense of measures. The equiintegrability of $|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)|$ with respect to k implies that σ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue

measure. Since $|\mu| \le \sigma$, μ is also absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and therefore just an L^1 function, i.e. $\mu \in L^1$. Since $\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w) \rightharpoonup \partial_j \nabla w$ in the sense of distributions, it follows that $\partial_j \nabla w = \mu \in L^1$. Moreover, we have the estimate

$$\|\partial_j \nabla w\|_1 = |\mu|(\Omega) \le \limsup_{k\to\infty} \|\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w)\|_1 \lesssim \int_\Omega |\nabla V(\nabla w)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi(|\nabla w|)\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \varphi^*(1)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

This proves the L^1-estimate for $\nabla^2 w$.

The steps for $\nabla A \in L^1$ are quite similar. In this case we define

$$g_k := (\delta_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(A(\nabla w))) = |h_k|^{-1} (\tau_{h_k,j} S_{\varepsilon_k}(\nabla w))$$

with $\varphi'(h_k) = \varepsilon_k$. Then we need to repeat all the steps above, but at the step of the application of Young's inequality we use $(\varphi_{|\nabla u|})^*$ this time. Moreover, we use

$$(\varphi_{|\nabla w|})^*(|\tau_{h_k,j} A(\nabla w)|) \eqsim |\tau_{h,j} V(\nabla w)|^2$$

by Lemma 3.1. All other steps are the same and we skip the details. □

Remark 3.5. *It is noteworthy, that the above principle can be applied to any partial derivative. Indeed, the above argument implies, that if $\partial_\xi V(\nabla w) \in L^2$, then $\partial_\xi \nabla w \in L^1$. This is particularly interesting in case of the time derivative. So by the same arguments $\partial_t V(\nabla w) \in L^2$ implies $\partial_t \nabla w \in L^1$ with the respective estimate*

$$\int_J \int_\Omega |\nabla \partial_t w|\,\mathrm{d}z \lesssim \int_J \int_\Omega |\partial_t V(\nabla w)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}z + \int_J \int_\Omega \varphi(|\nabla w|)\,\mathrm{d}z + \int_I \int_\Omega \varphi^*(1)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Moreover, we get $\partial_t A(\nabla w) \in L^1$ with the corresponding estimate.

Theorem 3.6. *Let φ satisfy Assumption 2.1 and let u be a local weak solution to (1.2) on a cylindrical domain $J \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ with $\nabla u \in L^2_{loc}(J \times \Omega)$. Further, let $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a bounded, non-decreasing function and $H : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ a function, such that $H'(t) = tf(t)$. For any cylinder $Q_R = (t - \alpha R^2, t) \times B_R(x) \Subset J \times \Omega$ and $\eta \in C^\infty_{0,par}(Q)$ with $0 \le \eta \le 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{((t-\alpha R^2,t)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \fint_{B_R(x)} H(|\nabla u|)\eta^q \,\mathrm{d}x + R^2 \fint_{Q_R} |\nabla V(\nabla u)|^2 \eta^q f(|\nabla u|)\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &\qquad \lesssim R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} |V(\nabla u)|^2 \|\nabla \eta\|^2_\infty f(|\nabla u|)\,\mathrm{d}z + R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} H(|\nabla u|)\eta^{q-1}|\partial_t \eta|\,\mathrm{d}z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

At this $q > 1$ is fixed such that $\varphi_a(\eta^{q-1}t) \lesssim \eta^q \varphi_a(t)$, which exists due to [12, (6.25)].

Proof. We already know from Theorem 3.3 that $\nabla V(\nabla u) \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(J \times \Omega)$. This and Lemma 3.4 implies that $\nabla^2 u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(J \times \Omega)$. In the following we abbreviate $v := |\nabla u|$.

We now want to include the function f. By means of the monotone convergence theorem it suffices to prove the theorem under the additional assumption that $f \in C^1$ and that f is constant for large values. We take the test function $\xi = |Q_R|^{-1} \delta_{-h,j}(f(|\delta_h u_\sigma|)\eta^q \delta_{h,j} u)$. Here η is chosen as the usual–. A cut off function in space and a smoothly growing function with a jump. More precisely, for $s \in [t - \alpha R^2, t]$ fixed we choose $\chi_{(t-\alpha 2R^2,s)} \le \eta \le \chi_{(t-\alpha 4R^2,s)}$ with a jump from 1 to 0 at s and otherwise growing such that $0 \le \rho' \le \frac{c}{\alpha R^2}$. The information that is gained due to the time derivative can be deduced like in [9, Chap. VIII].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} &:= \fint_{(t-2\alpha R^2,s)} \fint_{B_R} \delta_{h,j} A(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla\big(f(|\delta_h u_\sigma|)\eta^q \delta_{h,j} u\big)\,\mathrm{d}z + \frac{1}{\alpha R^2} \fint_{\{s\}\times B_R} H(|\delta_h u|)\eta^q \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\le \fint_{Q_{2R}} H(|\delta_h u|)\,|\partial_t(\eta^q)|\,\mathrm{d}z =: \mathrm{III}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Since $\nabla u \in L^2(Q_{2R})$ and $H(t) \leq \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2} t^2$, we get as $h \to 0$

$$\mathrm{II} \to \frac{1}{\alpha R^2} \fint_{\{s\}\times B_R} H(v)\eta^q \,\mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathrm{III} \to \fint_{Q_{2R}} H(v)|\partial_t (\eta^q)| \,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{3.12}$$

For the term I, we have to be more careful. We begin by splitting

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{Q_{2R}} A(\nabla u)\cdot\nabla(\delta_{-h,j}(f(|\delta_h u|)\,\eta^q\,\delta_{h,j}u))\,\mathrm{d}z = \fint_{Q_{2R}} \delta_{h,j}A(\nabla u)\cdot\nabla(f(|\delta_h u|)\,\eta^q\,\delta_{h,j}u)\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&= \fint_{Q_{2R}} \delta_{h,j}A(\nabla u)\cdot\nabla|\delta_h u| f'(|\delta_h u|)\,\eta^q\,\delta_{h,j}u\,\mathrm{d}z + \fint_{Q_{2R}} \delta_{h,j}A(\nabla u)\cdot\delta_h\nabla u\; f(|\delta_h u|)\eta^q\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\quad + \fint_{Q_{2R}} \delta_{h,j}A(\nabla u)\cdot\nabla\eta\, q\eta^{q-1} f(|\delta_h u|)\delta_{h,j}u\,\mathrm{d}z =: \mathrm{IV}_j + \mathrm{V}_j + \mathrm{VI}_j
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Also like in the previous section, we get for IV_j with Lemma 3.1:

$$\mathrm{V}_j = \fint_{Q_{2R}} \delta_{h,j}A(\nabla u)\cdot\delta_{h,j}\nabla u\, f(|\delta_h u|)\eta^q\,\mathrm{d}z \eqsim \fint_{Q_{2R}} |\delta_{h,j}V(\nabla u)|^2 f(|\delta_h u|)\eta^q\,\mathrm{d}z \tag{3.14}$$

and because of $V(\nabla u)\in W^{1,2}(Q_{2R})$ we get as $h\to 0$

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \mathrm{V}_j \gtrsim \fint_{Q_{2R}} |\partial_j V(\nabla u)|^2 f(v)\eta^q\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{3.15}$$

The estimate for VI_j is the same as in the stationary of [12, theorem 11]. The additional factor make for this term no difference as every step is of pointwise manner and we get:

$$\limsup_{h\to 0} |\mathrm{VI}_j| \leq \delta \fint_{Q_{2R}} |\nabla V(\nabla u)|^2 f(v)\eta^q\,\mathrm{d}z + c_\delta \fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v)\|\nabla\eta\|_\infty^2 f(v)\,\mathrm{d}z \tag{3.16}$$

For IV_j in 3.13, we note that $|\delta_h u| f'(|\delta_h u|)$ is bounded uniformly in h because of $f'(t)=0$ for large t. For the integrand of IV_j this gives with the help of Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\delta_{h,j}A(\nabla u) f'(|\delta_h u|)\nabla|\delta_h u|\;\delta_{h,j}u\eta^q\big| &\leq |\delta_h A(\nabla u)|\,\big|\nabla|\delta_h u|\big|\,\big|f'(|\delta_h u|)\delta_h u\big|\\
&\lesssim h^{-2}|\tau_h A(\nabla u)|\,|\tau_h\nabla u|\\
&\lesssim |\delta_h V(\nabla u)|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Together with $\nabla V(\nabla u)\in L^2(Q_{2R})$ the generalized theorem of dominated convergence may be applied. Thus the limit can be justified and estimated by

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\sum_{j=1}^m \mathrm{IV}_j \gtrsim \int_{Q_{2R}} \left(\frac{\varphi'(v)}{v}\left(|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{|\nabla v\cdot\nabla u|^2}{v^2}\right) + \varphi''(v)\frac{|\nabla v\cdot\nabla u|^2}{v^2}\right) f'(v)\eta^q\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the fact that $f'(t)\geq 0$, we conclude that I converges to a non negative function and can be omitted. Adding up over j in (3.10), taking the limit $h\to 0$ and absorbing the right hand side term including ∇V into the left hand side concludes the proof. □

In the following we use the notation $(a)_+ := \max\{a,0\}$ and $\{v>\gamma\} = \{(t,x) : v(t,x)>\gamma\}$. We write χ_A for the indicator function of the set A.

We want to point out that theorem 3.6 strongly simplifies the proof in [9] for p-caloric functions, since we do not need to distinguish the sub-linear $p<2$ and super-linear $p>2$ case. In all situations we can choose the easy function $f(v)=\chi_{\{v>\gamma\}}$ in theorem 3.6. This gives:

Corollary 3.7. *Let u be as in theorem 3.6. For $\gamma > 0$ let $G(t) := \left(\sqrt{\varphi'(t)t} - \sqrt{\varphi'(\gamma)\gamma}\,\right)_+$ and $H(t) = (t^2-\gamma^2)_+$. Then we get*

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(t-\alpha R^2,t)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \fint_{B_R(x)} H(v)\,\mathrm{d}x + R^2 \fint_{Q_R} \left|\nabla\big(G(v)\eta^{\frac{q}{2}}\big)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}z \\ \lesssim R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v)\|\nabla\eta\|_\infty^2 \chi_{\{v>\gamma\}}\,\mathrm{d}z + R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} H(v)\eta^{q-1}|\partial_t\eta|\,\mathrm{d}z.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

4. L^∞-bounds of the Gradient

In this section we prove the boundedness of the gradients ∇u by making use of the DiGeorgi technique. We assume that the assumptions of theorem 3.6 are satisfied. In particular, u is a local φ-caloric solution on $J\times\Omega$. We define the cylinders $Q_R := I_{\alpha R^2}\times B_R := (t_0-\alpha R^2, t_0)\times B_R(x) \Subset J\times\Omega$ for some $R>0$ with $Q_{2R} \Subset J\times\Omega$.

We define the sequence of scaled cylinders with the same center

$$Q_k = Q_{(1+2^{-k})R}.$$

Now choose $\zeta_k \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{par}}(Q_{k+1})$ with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{Q_k} \le \zeta_k &\le \chi_{Q_{k+1}} \\ |\nabla\zeta_k| &\lesssim R^{-1}2^k \\ |\partial_t\zeta_k| &\lesssim (\alpha R^2)^{-1}2^k.\end{aligned}$$

For $\gamma_\infty > 0$ (to be chosen later) we define

$$\gamma_k := \gamma_\infty\left(1-2^{-k}\right)$$

For a function f on Q_{2R} we define the following scaled Bochner type norms

$$\|f\|_{L^s(L^r)(k)} := \Big\|\|f\|_{L^s\left(\zeta_k^q\,\mathrm{d}x\right)}\Big\|_{L^r(\,\mathrm{d}t)} := \left(\fint_{I_{\alpha 4R^2}} \left(\fint_{B_{2R}} |f|^r\zeta_k^q\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{s}{r}}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Recall that $v := |\nabla u|$. For our DeGiorgi iteration argument we define the following important quantities

$$\begin{aligned}Y_k &:= \|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}}\|_{L^1(L^1)(k)} \\ Z_k &:= \tfrac{1}{\alpha}\|v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}}\|_{L^1(L^1)(k)} \\ W_k &:= Y_k + Z_k.\end{aligned}$$

We start with some level set estimates in terms of W_k.

Lemma 4.1. *Uniformly in k we have*

$$\tfrac{1}{\alpha}\left\|v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\right\|_{L^\infty(L^1)(k+1)} \lesssim 2^{3k}W_k \tag{4.1}$$

$$\left\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\right\|_{L^1\left(L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)(k+1)} \lesssim 2^{3k}W_k. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. We define $G_k(t) := \left((\varphi'(t)t)^{\frac12} - (\varphi'(\gamma_k)\gamma_k)^{\frac12}\right)_+$ and $H(t) = (v^2-\gamma_k^2)_+$ as above and recall the energy inequality from Corollary 3.7 with $\eta = \left(\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\right)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_I \frac{1}{\alpha}\fint_{B_{2R}} H_k(v)\zeta_{k+1}^{q\frac{n}{n-2}}\,\mathrm{d}x + R^2\fint_{Q_{2R}} \left|\nabla\left(G_k(v)\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{q}{2}\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}z \\ \lesssim R^2\fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v)\left\|\nabla\left(\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)\right\|_\infty^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\,\mathrm{d}z + R^2\fint_{Q_{2R}} H(v)\zeta_{k+1}^{(q-1)\frac{n}{n-2}}\partial_t\left(\zeta^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)\,\mathrm{d}z\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

At first we estimate the terms on the right hand side of 4.3 and note that $\zeta_k \equiv 1$ on $\mathrm{supp}\zeta_{k+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}} \left\| \nabla \left(\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)\right\|_\infty^2 \,\mathrm{d}z &\lesssim 2^{2k} \fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}}\chi_{\operatorname{supp}\chi_{\{k+1\}}} \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\leq 2^{2k} \fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}}\zeta_k^q \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= 2^{2k} Y_k
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} H_k(v) \left(\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\right)^{q-1} \left|\partial_t \left(\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\right)\right| \,\mathrm{d}z &\lesssim \frac{2^{k+1}R^2}{\alpha R^2} \fint_{Q_{2R}} v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}}\chi_{\operatorname{supp}\chi_{\{k+1\}}} \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\lesssim \frac{2^k}{\alpha} \fint_{Q_{2R}} v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_k\}}\zeta_k^q \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= 2^k Z_k \leq 2^{2k} Z_k
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting this in 4.3 gives

$$
\sup_I \frac{1}{\alpha} \fint_{B_{2R}} H_k(v)\zeta_{k+1}^{q\frac{n}{n-2}} \,\mathrm{d}x + R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}} \left|\nabla \left(G_k(v)\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{q}{2}\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)\right|^2 \,\mathrm{d}z \lesssim 2^{2k} W_k \tag{4.4}
$$

To prove 4.1 we first note that for $h(t) = t^2$ or $h(t) = (\varphi'(t)t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(v) &= h(v) - h(\gamma_k) + h(\gamma_k) \\
&= h(v) - h(\gamma_k) + \frac{h(\gamma_k)}{h(\gamma_{k+1}) - h(\gamma_k)} \left(h(\gamma_{k+1}) - h(\gamma_k)\right) \\
&\leq \left(h(v) - h(\gamma_k)\right) \frac{h(\gamma_{k+1})}{h(\gamma_{k+1}) - h(\gamma_k)} \\
&\leq \frac{h(\gamma_{k+1})}{h(\gamma_{k+1}) - h(\gamma_k)} \left(h(v) - h(\gamma_k)\right)_+
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k \geq 1$ we get using the intermediate value theorem of differential calculus with some $t \in (\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})$ and the fact that $h(2t) \lesssim h(t)$ and $th'(t) \eqsim h(t)$:

$$
\frac{h(\gamma_{k+1})}{h(\gamma_{k+1}) - h(\gamma_k)} = \frac{h(\gamma_{k+1})}{h'(t)\left(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k\right)} \eqsim \frac{h(\gamma_{k+1})t}{h(t)\left(c\left(2^{-k} - 2^{-k-1}\right)\right)} \lesssim \frac{h(\gamma_{k+1})}{h\left(\frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{2}\right)} 2^{k+1} \lesssim 2^{k+1}
$$

So in total we have

$$
h(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}} \lesssim 2^{k+1} \left(h(v) - h(\gamma_k)\right)_+ \tag{4.5}
$$

and we see that $\zeta \leq \zeta^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$ as $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$. Putting this in 4.4 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\|_{L^\infty(L^1)(k+1)} &= \alpha \sup_I \frac{1}{\alpha} \fint_{B_{2R}} v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\zeta_{k+1}^q \,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\lesssim \alpha 2^k \sup_I \frac{1}{\alpha} \fint_{B_{2R}} H_k(v) \left(\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\right)^q \,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\lesssim \alpha 2^{3k} W_k
\end{aligned}
$$

For inequality 4.2 we set $h(t) = (\varphi'(t)t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in 4.5 and get $\varphi(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_{t>\gamma_{k+1}} \eqsim (\varphi'(t)t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\chi_{t>\gamma_{k+1}} \lesssim 2^k G_k(t)$ for $t > \gamma_{k+1}$. We use Sobolev's embedding inequality and the previous estimates to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1\left(L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)(k+1)} &= \left\|\left\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\zeta_{k+1}^{q\frac{n-2}{n}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\,\mathrm{d}x)}\right\|_{L^1(\,\mathrm{d}t)} \\
&= \left\|\left\|\varphi(v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{q}{2}\frac{n-2}{n}}\right\|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\,\mathrm{d}x)}\right\|_{L^1(\,\mathrm{d}t)} \\
&\lesssim 2^k\left\|\left\|G_k(v)\zeta_{k+1}^{\frac{q}{2}\frac{n-2}{n}}\right\|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\,\mathrm{d}x)}\right\|_{L^1(\,\mathrm{d}t)} \\
&\lesssim 2^k R^2\left\|\left\|\nabla\left(G_k(v)\zeta^{\frac{q}{2}\frac{n-2}{n}}\right)\right\|^2_{L^2(\,\mathrm{d}x)}\right\|_{L^1(\,\mathrm{d}t)} \\
&= 2^k R^2 \fint_{Q_{2R}}\left|\nabla\left(G_k(v)\zeta^{\frac{q}{2}\frac{n-2}{n}}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\lesssim 2^{3k}W_k
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

We are now able to proof the main theorem 2.2.

Proof of theorem 2.2 . We use the definitions from Lemma 4.1. For Y_{k+1} we get, by Hölder's inequality, for the couple $(\frac{n}{n-2}, \frac{n}{2})$ (for $n \geq 3$)

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{k+1} = \left\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\right\|_{L^1(L^1)(k+1)} &= \left\|\frac{v^{\frac{4}{n}}}{v^{\frac{4}{n}}}\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\right\|_{L^1(L^1)(k+1)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}^{\frac{4}{n}}}\big\|\varphi(v)v^{\frac{4}{n}}\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1(L^1)(k+1)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\gamma_\infty^{\frac{4}{n}}}\big\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1\left(L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)(k+1)}\big\|v^{\frac{4}{n}}\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^\infty\left(L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\gamma_\infty^{\frac{4}{n}}}\big\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1\left(L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)(k+1)}\big\|v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|^{\frac{2}{n}}_{L^\infty(L^1)(k+1)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{3k\left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)}W_k\left(\frac{W_k\alpha}{\gamma_\infty^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that for $n = 1, 2$ we can use any couple (q, q').) And now for Z_{k+1}, we use the function $\rho(t) := \varphi(t)t^{\frac{4}{n}-2}$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha\, Z_{k+1} = \big\|v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1(L^1)(k+1)} &= \left\|\frac{\rho(v)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\rho(v)^{\frac{2}{n}}}v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\right\|_{L^1(L^1)(k+1)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\rho(\gamma_{k+1})^{\frac{2}{n}}}\big\|\varphi(v)v^{\frac{4}{n}}\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1(L^1)(k+1)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\rho(\gamma_\infty)^{\frac{2}{n}}}\big\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1\left(L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)(k+1)}\big\|v^{\frac{4}{n}}\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^\infty\left(L^{\frac{2}{n}}\right)(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho(\gamma_\infty)^{\frac{2}{n}}}\big\|\varphi(v)\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|_{L^1\left(L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)(k+1)}\big\|v^2\chi_{\{v>\gamma_{k+1}\}}\big\|^{\frac{2}{n}}_{L^\infty(L^1)(k+1)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{3k\left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)}W_k\left(\frac{W_k\alpha}{\rho(\gamma_\infty)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In total, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{k+1} &= Y_{k+1} + Z_{k+1} \\
&\lesssim 2^{3k(1+\frac{2}{n})} W_k \left(\frac{W_k\alpha}{\gamma_\infty^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} + 2^{3k(1+\frac{2}{n})} \frac{W_k}{\alpha} \left(\frac{W_k\alpha}{\rho(\gamma_\infty)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{3k(1+\frac{2}{n})} W_k \max\left\{ \left(\frac{W_k\alpha}{\gamma_\infty^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \left(\frac{W_k\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{n}}}{\rho(\gamma_\infty)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \right\} \\
&= 2^{3k(1+\frac{2}{n})} W_k \left(\frac{W_k}{\min\left\{\frac{\rho(\gamma_\infty)}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \frac{\gamma_\infty^2}{\alpha}\right\}}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows from Lemma 5.1 as we have $W_k \to 0$ if we choose γ_∞ such that $W_0 \eqsim \min\left\{\frac{\rho(\gamma_\infty)}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \frac{\gamma_\infty^2}{\alpha}\right\}$. This implies

$$\min_{Q_R}\left\{\frac{\rho(v)}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \frac{v^2}{\alpha}\right\} \le \min_{Q_R}\left\{\frac{\rho(\gamma_\infty)}{\alpha^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}, \frac{\gamma_\infty^2}{\alpha}\right\} \eqsim W_0 = \fint_{Q_{2R}} \varphi(v) + \frac{v^2}{\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

This proves our main theorem. □

5. Appendix

Here, we state an algebraic lemma, which is an easily verifiable version of Lemma 4.1 in [9].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $C > 0$, $b > 1$ and γ be real numbers and a_k a sequence with*

$$a_{k+1} \le C b^k a_k \left(\frac{a_k}{\gamma}\right)^\alpha$$

Then we have $a_k \to 0$ if $\gamma = a_0 C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} b^{\frac{1}{\alpha^2}}$

We developed the idea of our proof in the parabolic setting by first considering the stationary case. Although the following result is well known, we believe that the following simple application of DiGiorgi type estimates is of independent interest.

Theorem 5.2. *Let φ satisfy assumption 2.1 and let $u \in W^{1,\varphi}$ be a local weak solution to $\Delta_\varphi u = 0$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have for all Balls B_R with $B_{2R} \Subset \Omega$*

$$\sup_{B_R} \varphi(|\nabla u|) \lesssim \fint_{B_{2R}} \varphi(|\nabla u|)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. By using the test function $\xi = \delta_{-h,j}(\eta^q f(|\delta_h u|)\delta_{h,j} u)$ with a $C_0^\infty(B)$ function η and f as in theorem 3.6 we get the stationary equivalent of (3.9), namely:

$$\fint_B |\nabla V(\nabla u)|^2 \eta^q f(|\nabla u|)\,\mathrm{d}x \lesssim \fint_B |V(\nabla u)|^2 |\nabla\eta|^2 f(|\nabla u|)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Choosing $f(t) = \chi_{\{t>\gamma\}}$ and writing $|\nabla u| =: v$ we obtain as in corollary 3.7

$$\fint_B \left|\nabla\big(G(v)\eta^{\frac{q}{2}}\big)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \fint_B \varphi(v)\|\nabla\eta\|_\infty^2 \chi_{\{v>\gamma\}}\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{5.1}$$

We define $B_k = B_{(1+2^{-k})R}$, $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sqrt{\varphi'(\gamma_k)\gamma_k} =: c_k = c_\infty(1+2^{-k})$, the C_0^∞ functions η_k such that $\chi_{B_k} \le \eta_k \le \chi_{B_{k+1}}$ and $|\nabla\eta_k| \lesssim 2^k R^{-1}$, and $G_k := (\sqrt{\varphi'(t)t} - c_k)_+$. Note that $\varphi(t) \eqsim \varphi'(t)t \le 2^{2k+2}(G_k(t))^2$ for $t > \gamma_k$ according to (4.5). Using Hölder's inequality and the

Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{k+1} &:= \left\|G_{k+1}(v)\eta_{k+1}^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|_2^2 \leq \left\|G_{k+1}(v)\eta^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}^2 \|\chi_{v>\gamma_{k+1}}\chi_{B_{k+1}}\|_{\frac{1}{n}}^2 \\
&\lesssim R^2 \left\|\nabla\left(G_{k+1}(v)\gamma_{k+1}^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_2^2 \|\chi_{v>\gamma_{k+1}}\chi_{B_{k+1}}\|_1^{\frac{2}{n}} \\
&\lesssim R^2 \left\|\varphi(v)\chi_{v>\gamma_{k+1}}|\nabla\eta_{k+1}|^2\right\|_1 \left\|\chi_{\sqrt{\varphi'(v)v}-c_k>c_{k+1}-c_k}\eta^q\right\|_1^{\frac{2}{n}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{2k}\left\|G_{k+1}(v)\eta_k^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|_2^2 \left\|\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varphi'(v)v}-c_k}{c_{k+1}-c_k}\right)^2 \chi_{v>\gamma_k}\eta^q\right\|_1^{\frac{2}{n}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{6k}U_k\left(\frac{U_k}{c_\infty^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can apply lemma 5.1 and obtain $U_k \to 0$ if $c_\infty \eqsim U_0$ and therefore, we get

$$\sup_{B_R}\varphi(v) \lesssim \sup_{B_R}\varphi'(v)v \leq c_\infty^2 \eqsim U_0 \lesssim \fint_{B_{2R}} \varphi(v)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

□

References

[1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione. Gradient estimates for a class of parabolic systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 136(2):285–320, 2007.

[2] P. Baroni. Riesz potential estimates for a general class of quasilinear equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 53(3-4):803–846, 2015.

[3] P. Baroni and C. Lindfors. The Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for a general class of parabolic equations. *To appear An. l'Inst. Henri Poincar, Anal. Non Lin.* Preprint 1509.01359, 2016.

[4] L. Belenki, L. Diening, and C. Kreuzer. Optimality of an adaptive finite element method for the p-Laplacian equation. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 32(2):484–510, 2012.

[5] M. Bildhauer. *Convex variational problems*, volume 1818 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[6] V. Bögelein, F. Duzaar, and G. Mingione. The regularity of general parabolic systems with degenerate diffusion. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 221(1041):vi–143, 2013.

[7] D. Breit, B. Stroffolini, and A. Verde. A general regularity theorem for functionals with φ-growth. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 383(1):226–233, 2011.

[8] L. A. Caffarelli and I. Peral. On $W^{1,p}$ estimates for elliptic equations in divergence form. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51(1):1–21, 1998.

[9] E. DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[10] E. DiBenedetto and A. Friedman. Regularity of solutions of nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems. *J. reine angew. Math*, 349:83–128, 1984.

[11] E. DiBenedetto and A. Friedman. Hölder estimates for nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357:1–22, 1985.

[12] L. Diening and F. Ettwein. Fractional estimates for non-differentiable elliptic systems with general growth. *Forum Mathematicum*, 20(3):523–556, 2008.

[13] L. Diening and P. Kaplický. l^q theory for a generalized stokes system. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 141:333–361, 2013.

[14] L. Diening, P. Kaplický, and S. Schwarzacher. BMO estimates for the p-Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(2):637–650, 2012.

[15] L. Diening, P. Kaplický, and S. Schwarzacher. Campanato estimates for the generalized stokes system. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 2013.

[16] L. Diening and C. Kreuzer. Linear convergence of an adaptive finite element method for the p-Laplacian equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 46:614–638, 2008.

[17] L. Diening, B. Stroffolini, and A. Verde. Everywhere regularity of functionals with φ-growth. *Manuscripta Math.*, 129(4):449–481, 2009.

[18] L. Diening, B. Stroffolini, and A. Verde. The φ-harmonic approximation and the regularity of phi-harmonic maps. *J. Differential Equations* 253(7): 1943–1958., 2012.

[19] J. Frehse and S. Schwarzacher. On regularity of the time derivative for degenerate parabolic systems. *Preprint*, 2015.

[20] T. Iwaniec. On L^p-integrability in pde's and quasiregular mappings for large exponents. *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ, Series A. I. Mathematica*, 7:301–322, 1982.

[21] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione. Linear potentials in nonlinear potential theory. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 207(1):215–246, 2013.

[22] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione. Riesz potentials and nonlinear parabolic equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 212(3):727–780, 2014.
[23] G. M. Lieberman. The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Wral'ceva for elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(2-3):311–361, 1991.
[24] G. M. Lieberman. Hölder regularity for the gradients of solutions of degenerate parabolic systems. *Ukr. Math. Bull*, 3:352–373, 2006.
[25] P. Marcellini and G. Papi. Nonlinear elliptic systems with general growth. *J. Differential Equations*, 221(2):412–443, 2006.
[26] M. Misawa. Local Hölder regularity of gradients for evolutional p-Laplacian systems. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 181(4):389–405, 2002.
[27] J. Moser. A Harnack inequality for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17:101–134, 1964.
[28] J. Nash. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. *American Journal of Mathematics*, pages 931–954, 1958.
[29] S. Schwarzacher. Hölder-zygmund estimates for degenerate parabolic systems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 256:2423–2448, 2014.
[30] K. Uhlenbeck. Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems. *Acta Math.*, 138(3-4):219–240, 1977.
[31] N. N. Ural'ceva. Degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 7:184–222, 1968.

Osnabrück University, Institute of Mathematics, Albrechtstr. 28a, 49076 Osnabrück, Germany
E-mail address: ldiening@uos.de

Osnabrück University, Institute of Mathematics, Albrechtstr. 28a, 49076 Osnabrück, Germany
E-mail address: tscharle@uos.de

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Prague, Czech Republic
E-mail address: schwarz@karlin.mff.cuni.cz